9.8: City of Egg Harbor City – Jurisdictional Annex

Summary of Hazards and Actions

Summary of Hazard Ranking and Actions, City of Egg Harbor City							
Hazard	Hazard Ranking	# of Related Actions	Action #s				
	Atm	ospheric Hazards					
Extreme Temperatures	М	8	1, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14				
Extreme Wind	М	8	1, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14				
Hail	L	8	1, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14				
Hurricane & Tropical Storm	н	10	1, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14				
Lightning	L	8	1, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14				
Nor'easter	М	10	1, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14				
Tornado	L	8	1, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14				
Winter Storm	М	9	1, 4, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14				
	Ну	drologic Hazards					
Coastal Erosion	N/A						
Sea Level Rise	н	8	1, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14				
Dam Failure	L	8	1, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14				
Levee Failure	N/A						
Drought	L	9	1, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14				
Flood	н	12	1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14				
Tsunami	L	8	1, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14				
Storm Surge	М	8	1, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14				
Wave Action	N/A						
	G	eologic Hazards					
Earthquake	L	9	1, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14				
	Othe	er Natural Hazards					
Wildfire	М	9	1, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14				



Mitigation Strategy for Risk Reduction

	Overview of Municipal Mitigation S	Strategy, City of Eq	gg Harbor City	
Project Number	Project Description	Hazard(s) Addressed	Priority	Goals
1	Participation in County Plan Update	All	Н	4
2	Retrofit dam to prevent to prevent overtopping during a hazard event; retrofit retaining wall and spillway	Flood	Н	3
3	Increase carrying capacity of creeks	Flood	М	3
4	Identify and prioritize with residents/business owners to trim trees and develop City-wide maintenance program. Acquire equipment and obtain training to allow City personnel to remove fallen tree.	Flood, Hurricane/Tropical Storm, Nor'easter	М	3
5	Assess system and identify locations to upgrade City water & sewer mains	Drought, Earthquake	Н	3
6	Prioritize and investigate options to mitigate vulnerable structures	Flood, Hurricane/Tropical Storm, Nor'easter, Winter Storm, Wildfire	н	3
7	Develop and implement and enhanced all hazard, public outreach, education, and mitigation information plan. Prepare and distribute surveys, provide information in mailings, use various media to distribute information, and develop/maintain page on City website dedicated to hazard mitigation.	All	Н	1
9	Code update: Review existing local codes and ordinances against the identified hazards to determine whether there need to be any amendments to address identified hazards and, where a need is identified, modify/amend the codes/ordinances as applicable. (prevention)	All	Н	4
10	Code enforcement: Enforcement of State and Local Building Codes with Continual CEO training. (prevention)	All	Н	4
11	Ensure that local comprehensive plans incorporate natural disaster mitigation techniques through a courtesy review of draft plans by the County Planning Department	All	М	4
12	Update information on the local web site regarding preparing for emergencies to incorporate profiled hazards not already discussed in these documents, and ensure that the information continues to be maintained on the web site. (public education)	All	н	1
13	Hold periodic workshops for municipalities regarding zoning and planning issues that arise regarding natural hazards and hazard mitigation (prevention)	All	М	2
14	Ensure that the principles of this hazard mitigation plan are integrated into the new and updated development plans/strategies. (prevention)	All	М	4

More detailed information on these individual actions is presented at the end of this annex.



Contact Information

Egg Harbor City's Statement of Authority to Participate identified a Primary Point of Contact and an Alternate for this hazard mitigation plan update. These individuals represented the jurisdiction on the county-wide Core Planning Group and led a local team of Jurisdictional Assessment Team Members who undertook various local activities related to the plan update

HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN POINTS OF CONTACT								
	Primary Point of Contac	t		Alternate Point of Contact				
Name: Robert Ade Title: Emergency Manag Department: Emergenc Address: 500 London A Phone Number: 609-51 E-mail Address: bobade	y Management venue, Egg Harbor City, NJ 7-3171	08215	Title: De Departm Address: Phone N	Name: Barbara Adams Title: Deputy Emergency Management Coordinator Department: Emergency Management Address: 500 London Avenue, Egg Harbor City, NJ 08215 Phone Number: 609-892-1853 E-mail Address: badamsehcoem@gmail.com				
		Jurisdictional	Assessment Team M	embers				
Please fill in the contact details fo the person filling in this page: Name: Title: Community Name: County: Email: Phone:	Name: Jodi Kahn Title: Chief Financial Officer Community Name: Egg Harbor City County: Atlantic County, NJ Email: jodik@eggharborcity.org							
Local Jurisdiction Role/Position	Name	Email	Phone	Date of Invitation	Method of Invitation	Agreed to participate?		
Mayor/Supervisor	Lisa Jiampetti	jiams208@comcast.net	609-457-8092	06/01/21	email	yes		
Clerk	Meg Steeb	megs@eggharborcity.org	609-965-0081 opt 5	06/01/21	email	yes		
Engineer	Ryan McGowan	Ryan.McGowan@rve.com	609-645-7110	06/01/21	email	yes		
Attorney	Angela Costigan	amcostigan@costigan11c.com	609-567-4500	06/01/21	email	yes		
Building Code Official	Richard Saunders	rchrdsaun@aol.com	609-965-1616	06/01/21	email	yes		
Emergency Manager	Robert Ade	bobade335@yahoo.com;	609-517-3171	06/01/21	email	yes		
Fiscal/Budget Officer	Jodi Kahn	jodik@eggharborcity.org	609-965-4683	06/01/21	email	yes		
Floodplain Manager	Ryan McGowan	Ryan.McGowan@rve.com	609-645-7110	06/01/21	email	yes		
Planner	Vincent Polistina	vpolistina@comcast.net	609-646-2950	06/01/21	email	yes		
Public Works Director	Keith Adams	keitha@eggharborcity.org	609-338-3382	06/01/21	email	yes		
Other	None							

Egg Harbor City has reported undertaking the following internal activities in preparation of its mitigation plan:

		INVOLVED PARTIES - Place an 'x' for any position					ition title that p	ion title that participated				
Subject of JAT Activity (Meeting Notice, Emails, Etc.)	Date	Mayor/ Supervisor	Administrator	Clerk	Engineer	Attorney	Building Code Official	Emergency Manager	Fiscal /Budget Officer	Floodplain Manager	Planner	Public Works Director
Kickoff email sent	06/01/21	x		x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Meeting with engineer	07/22/21				x				x			
Emailinvitation to JAT meeting	07/27/21	x		x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
JAT Meeting	07/28/21								x			x
Email DPW Superintendent worksheet to review	08/04/21								x			x
Email JAT Members worksheets to review	08/06/21	x		x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Phone conference with attorney	08/09/21					x			x			
Engineer reviewed worksheets	08/16/21				x							

Governing Body Format

The governing body is comprised of the mayor and the City Council, with all elected at-large in partisan elections as part of the November general election. The mayor is elected to a fouryear term of office. The City Council is comprised of nine members, who are elected to threeyear terms on a staggered basis in a three-year cycle, with three seats coming up for election each year.

Land Use and Development Trends

An evaluation of growth and development trends was undertaken by each participating jurisdiction as part of the development of the initial plan in 2010, and the last update in 2016. As part of this second plan update, Egg Harbor City reviewed and updated its prior feedback to reflect current conditions as of mid-2021.



Atlantic County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Worksheet #3 – Growth and Development Trends Update

Performing an assessment of Growth and Development Trends is one step of a FEMAapproved hazard mitigation plan update. This look into the future is important because development in hazard areas could put more assets at risk and, in turn, could work to increase potential disaster-related damages at a time when the mitigation plan's purpose is to reduce the potential for damages emanating from natural disasters. Growth/development trends are based on the construction of new buildings, demolition of old buildings, or the planning, zoning or subdivision of new areas for development. A stagnant or decreasing population alone is not reason for stating that no development has occurred, unless backed up by a lack of development.

An evaluation of growth/development trends was undertaken by each participating jurisdiction as part of the development of the 2016 plan. Your municipality's prior response from 2016 is included on the next page in Part 1. Please review your community's prior feedback, and identify any changes that have occurred since that time so the most current information can be reflected in this 2021 plan update. In addition, please provide responses for Part 2.

Information for the 2016 plan was provided to us by: [Jodi Kahn, Chief Financial Officer]

Your Name:Jodi Kahn]
Title: Chief Financial Office	
Community: City of Egg Harbor City]	
Email and Phone: jodik@eggharborcity.org, 609-965-4683]



Part 1 - P lease update your response from 2016 to reflect current conditions in the community. Note: Egg Harbor City, your last response to us did not use the WS3 format or directly answer the questions on it. I have included screenshots of your last submittal to us at the last pages of this worksheet, for your convenience and to use as a reference point in completing this page.

Community	1. Land Uses and Development Trends in Hazard Areas as Reported in the 2016 Plan. Please describe development trends occurring within your jurisdiction, such as the predominant types of development occurring, location, expected intensity, and pace by land use. While details are preferred, it is ok if your feedback is qualitative and quite general, such as "high-occupancy, high-density residential development is occurring near the waterfront".	la. Please update your response to reflect conditions in 2021	Regulations/Codes/Ordinances To Protect New Development From Natural Hazards as Reported in the 2016 Plan. Does your jurisdiction enforce regulations/ordinances/codes to protect new development from the effects of natural hazards? (Some examples might be floodplain management ordinances enforcing FEMA's NFIP for new development or substantial improvements in the floodplain; steep slope ordinances for community's which may have landslide hazards; earthquake resistant design criteria and/or high wind design criteria; or buffer zones in wildfire hazard areas.) If so, please describe.	2a. Please update your response to reflect conditions in 2021
Egg Harbor City, City of	See copy of 2016 submittal at end – this was not provided to us at the time of the 2016 plan.	Residential development in the Egg Harbor North area is still being pursued for Phase II and Phase III, and commercial development is being pursued in the area known as the Tower Site and at the City Lake Park-see updated chart below for status of projects previously identified.	See copy of 2016 submittal at end – this was not provided to us as the time of the 2016 plan.	We are in a Pinelands area, so all development must meet their restrictive requirements

Part 2 – Please answer the following questions.

Is major development planned? (3	yes/no)	yes						
If major development is planned, describe generally in the box to th then complete the rows below.	-	Housing of residential houses (approx. 150-180 houses) in Egg Harbor North area, Gateway office building (Persia), Tackle Direct(lake project), Energime (university-fish farm), Renault (Improve hotel), Wawa gas station with convenience store						
Property Name	Type (Residential Commercia	Structures	Address	Block and Lot	Known Hazard Zone	Description /Status		
Egg Harbor North	Residential	±200	Egg Harbor City North (Liverpool Ave)	Numerous	Wildfire	Phase I Complete, will need to find developer for phase II & Phase III		
Energime Redevelopment	Commercial	1-5	Hamburg and Moss Mill	Numerous	Wildfire	Energime University appointed conditional redeveloper in April 2021 thru June 2021-want to build university and sustainable fish farm		
Tackle Direct Redevelopment	Commercial	1-3	Philadelphia Avneue	954/1	Wildfire	Create headquarters/retail space for Tackle Direct		
Renault	Commercial	1-3	Bremen Ave	73.07/1-16	Wildfire	Redevelopment/improvement of existing hotel/restaurant/golf course		
Gateway Rehabilitation Area	tation Area Commercial		1 White Horse Pike	1.07/1.01, 1.02, 7, 28.03	None identified	Office building for multi-dealer automobile facilities (Facility with approx. 100 offices within the building)		
Wawa	Commercial	1-2	600 White Horse Pike	319/5	None identified	Wawa & Gasoline Station/Pad for another food establishment		

National Flood Insurance Program Summary

Egg Harbor City has participated in FEMA's National Flood Insurance program (NFIP) since 1982.

National Flood Insurance Program Data City of Egg Harbor City					
Total number of policies ¹	13				
Insurance in force ²	\$3,683,100				
Total number of losses during NFIP participation	617				
Total claims paid during NFIP participation	\$298,416				
Non-Mitigated Repetitive Loss Properties	0				
Non-Mitigated Severe Repetitive Loss Properties	0				

Egg Harbor City does not participate in the NFIP's Community Rating System (CRS).

Egg Harbor City provided the following NFIP Administrator Input in 2021, for inclusion in the 2022 Plan.

² Insurance in Force from www.fema.gov NFIP Insurance Report, data current as of 06/03/21



¹ Policies in Force from www.fema.gov NFIP Insurance Report, data current as of 06/03/21.

Name: Ryan McGowan, City Engineer Title: Floodplain Manager/Floodplain Administrator/NFIP Coordinator



Community: [City of Egg Harbor City] Email and Phone: <u>Ryan.McGowan@rve.com</u>, 609-645-7110

Atlantic County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update - Worksheet #2 - NFIP

<u>Note</u>: Data showing in the form at this time was provided for the 2016 plan by: <u>Jodi Kahn</u>. This form should be updated by your floodplain administrator.

At the time of the last plan update, Egg Harbor City submitted a PDF response regarding its NFIP implementation and not WS2. We have transposed information into the worksheet for you for your convenience. A copy of the City's last submittal appears at the end for your reference. You only need to fill in the first two pages: review existing inputs and update if needed; and then fill in the blanks (standing out in yellow). Please email <u>anna foley@aecom.com</u> if you have any questions.

Adoption Date of your Current Floodplain Management Ordinance	Date of Entry into NFIP ¹	Position or Title of Your Jurisdiction's Designated Floodplain Manager/Administrator (may also be called NFIP Coordinator)			Is this person <u>is</u> a Certified Floodplain Manager?	ertified Floodplain management an	
7/22/1982	7-22-87		City Engineer		[]] Yes [x] No	[[x]Yes[]]	No [[x]Yes []No
Provide an explan	ation of NFIP adm	inistration services (i.e	e., permit review, (GIS, education or outrea	ch, inspections, engineer	ing capability, e	tc.):
Permit review							
Describe barriers	to running an effe	tive NFIP program in	the community (ii	f applicable):			
Lack of staff, train	ing, budget						
When was most recent FEMA Community Assistance Visit (CAV) or Community Assistance Contac (CAC)?*	Is a CAV or CAC scheduled or needed? t	Does the current floodplain management ordinance exceed FEMA or State minimum requirements? If so, describe how.	Is training of staff regarding NFIP issues planned?	Does your community intend to continue to enforce the floodplain management requirements including regulating new construction in Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHAs)?	Does your community participate in the CRS? If so, state your Class.	Does your community intend to continue its participation in the CRS program?	If your community is not currently participating in the CRS program, are you intending to initiate the process during the next planning cycle?
4/30/2007	[]]Yes [x]No	0 [[]] Yes [x] No	[]] Yes [X]No	[X]Yes []No	[]] Yes* [x] No * Class	[]Yes []No	[] Yes [X] No
*Describe any outstanding compliance issues (i.e., current violations):							
No outstanding compliance issues							

Name: [Title:	Floodplain Manager/Floodplain Administrator/NFIP Coordinator



Representing: City of Egg Harbor City Email and Phone

Provide an explanation of your local floodplain permitting process:

When an application is received, the applicable mapping is reviewed by the floodplain manager

Does your community intend to continue floodplain identification and mapping services including any local requests for map updates?	Does your community intend to initiate/continue the buyouts of repetitive loss properties?	Does your community intend to commit staff or resources to improve local mapping or code administration in the future?	Does your community intend to provide local outreach to promote the sale of flood insurance?	Does your community intend to participate in RiskMAP meetings and planning initiatives?	Does your community intend to continue to implement structural improvements to mitigate against flooding - culverts, drainage basins, etc.?	Does your community intend to continue to implement home improvement programs designed to minimize basement flooding?	Does your community intend to continue to implement roadway improvements to reduce damage from future flooding events?	Does your community intend to implement plans and programs in coordination with a local or regional drainage/sewer authority?	
[X]Yes []No	[X]Yes []No	[] Yes [X] No	[]] Yes [X] No	[X]Yes []No	[[X]Yes []No	[[X] Yes [] No	[X]Yes []No	[] Yes [X] No	
Does your community intend to adopt the new FEMA Advisory Base Flood Elevations? As Floodplain Manager, did you your predecessor at the time) acti participate in the development of initial Hazard Mitigation Plan		t the time) actively development of the	As Floodplain Manager, are you actively participating in the development of this Hazard Mitigation Plan Update?		Have there been any changes to your community's local floodplain management program since the last version of the plan?				
X] Yes [] No [X] Yes [] No		[X]Yes []No			[] Yes * [X] No	1			
* If you <u>answere</u>	* If you <u>answered "yes",</u> that there have been changes to your local program since the last version of the plan, please describe:								

Provide a description of your community assistance and monitoring activities:

[None at this time.

E

NFIP participating communities are required to update/revise their floodplain management ordinance to ensure that it complies with the latest FEMA <u>regulations</u> . Will your community continue to commit to this program requirement?	NFIP participating communities are also required to update/revise their floodplain management ordinance to be consistent with the latest <u>FIRMs</u> . Will your community continue to commit to this program requirement?
[[x]Yes []No	[[x] Yes [] No

Critical Facilities in Delineated Hazard Areas

Note that all assets and facilities in the City of Egg Harbor City are considered to be at risk from County-wide hazards: Hurricane/Tropical Storm, Nor' easter, Extreme Wind, Tornado, Winter Weather, Lightning, Extreme Temperatures and Earthquake.

Facility Name	Location	Facility Type	Flood, A Zone	Flood, V Zone (Wave)	Category 1-4 Storm Surge	Coastal Erosion	Sea Level Rise, 1 Ft	Sea Level Rise, 3 Ft	Dam Failure	Low to Moderate Wildfire	High to Extreme Wildfire
	City	of Egg Harbor City									
WEMG-FM CH 285	Fichte St/Hamburg Avenue	Communication Facility	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Egg Harbor City Fire Dept.	631 Philadelphia Avenue	Fire Station	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Egg Harbor City PD	500 London Ave	Police Station	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Public Works	1001 Chicago Avenue	Public Works	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Egg Harbor City Community School	730 Havana Avenue	School	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Spragg Elementary School	601 buffalo Avenue	School	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rittenberg Manor	528 Philadelphia Avenue	Senior Living Facility	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Egg Harbor City WWTP	Esslair St & Fichte	Wastewater Treatment Facility	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Water Storage Facility Unit 2	358 6th Terrace	Water Supply Facility	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Water System Supply Well 7	413 Claudius Street	Water Supply Facility	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Water System Supply Well 6	659 Liverpool Ave	Water Supply Facility	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Water System Supply Well 8	600 Claudius St	Water Supply Facility	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Water Treatment Plant 1	601 Liverpool Avenue	Water Supply Facility	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Water Treatment Plant 2	717 Philadelphia Avenue	Water Supply Facility	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Total		6	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4

Summary of Estimated Potential Losses from Hazards

Estimated annual losses provided in this section are based on best available data, and the methodologies applied result in an approximation of risk. Loss estimates should be used to understand relative risk from hazards.

Uncertainties are inherent in any loss estimation methodology, arising in part from incomplete scientific knowledge concerning natural hazards and their effects on the built environment. Uncertainties also result from approximations and simplifications that are necessary for a comprehensive analysis (i.e., incomplete inventories, demographics or economic parameters

It is important to note that this table reflects estimates of average annual damages. For any hazard, individual event damages could be substantially (orders of magnitude) higher.

Only hazards for which annual losses were quantified and presented in Section 3C are included in this table.

Summary of Estimated Potential Losses From Hazards City of Egg Harbor City							
ExtremeWind	\$82,000	0.033%					
Hurricane and Tropical Storm	\$155,000	0.020%					
Lightning	\$700	0.000%					
Tornado	\$200	0.000%					
Drought*	\$23,000	5.000%					
Winter Storm	\$2,200	0.001%					
Coastal Erosion	\$0	0.000%					
Flooding (Riverine)	\$392,000	0.060%					
Storm Surge (Coastal Flooding)	\$2,000	0.000%					
Earthquake	\$8,000	0.001%					

*Derived from crop values



Outreach to the Public and Other Stakeholders

As part of this 2022 Plan Update, Egg Harbor City undertook various activities to: (a) alert the public and other stakeholders to the fact that the HMP Planning Committee was working to develop the update; and (b) provide the public and other stakeholders with a forum to ask questions and submit comments and suggestions on the process. Outreach activities undertaken by Egg Harbor City included those listed below. No comments were recorded as a result of the outreach activities.

	Outreach Activities							
Date of Activity	Type of Activity	Activity Details	Lead Department and/or Staff Title who Undertook Activity					
5/13/21	Council Meeting	Passed Statement of Authority to participate, explained what updating	Finance					
5/28/21	Website/Facebook	Updated City website with information on Plan Update Posted information on Facebook about plan update with link to City website	Finance					
6/1/21	Email	Emailed key stakeholder	Finance					
6/24/21	Council Meeting	Gave update on plan	Finance					
7/9/21	Channel 97/Website	Put slide on Channel 97/website with link to information	Finance					
7/15/21	Council Meeting	Gave update on plan	Finance					
7/22/21	Facebook post	Posted info on plan update on Facebook	Finance					
8/4/21	Bulletin board flyer	Posted flyer on City Hall bulletin board, sent flyer to County library Egg Harbor City branch for posting	Finance					



Capability Assessment

This section describes the following capabilities of Egg Harbor City :

- Legal and regulatory capability
- Administrative and technical capability
- Fiscal capability
- Community resiliency
- Community political capability

As part of the 2022 Plan update, each participating jurisdiction reevaluated the capabilities they had provided for the 2016 Plan, and sent updates to the consultant for incorporation into this plan section. Egg Harbor City has reviewed its responses from the 2016 Plan and has updated its prior feedback to reflect present-day conditions.

Legal and Regulatory Capability					
Regulatory Tools (Codes, Ordinances, Plans)	Do you have this?				
Building Code	Y				
Zoning Ordinance	Y				
Subdivision Ordinance	Y				
Special Purposes Ordinance	Ν				
Growth Management Ordinance	Y				
Site Plan Review Requirements	Y				
Comprehensive Plan	Y				
Capital Improvements Plan	Y				
Economic Development Plan	Y				
Emergency Response Plan	Y				
Post-Disaster Recovery Plan	Ν				
Post-Disaster Recovery Ordinance	Ν				
Real Estate Disclosure Ordinance	Ν				
Evacuation Plan	Y				



Administrative and Technical Capability						
Regulatory Tools (Codes, Ordinances, Plans)	Do you have this?					
Planner(s) with knowledge of land development and management practices	Y					
Engineer(s) with knowledge of land development and management practices	Y					
Planner(s) or engineer(s) with knowledge of land development and management practices	Y					
Engineer(s) or professional(s) trained in construction practices related to buildings and/or infrastructure	Y					
Planner(s) or engineer(s) with an understanding of natural and/or human caused hazards	Y					
Floodplain manager	Y					
Surveyors	Ν					
Staff with education or expertise to assess the community's vulnerability to hazards	Y					
Personnel skilled in GIS and/or HAZUS	Y					
Scientists familiar with the hazards of the community	Ν					
Emergency Manager	Y					
Code Enforcement Official	Y					
Public Works or Highway Superintendent	Y					
Emergency Management Coordinator	Y					

Fiscal Capability				
Financial Resources	Accessible or Eligible to use			
Community Development Block Grants (CDBG)	Y			
Capital Improvements Project Funding	Y			
Authority to Levy Taxes for Specific Purposes	Y			
Fees for Water, Sewer, Gas, or Electric Service	Y			
Impact Fees for Homebuyers or Developers for New Developments/Homes	Ν			
Incur Debt through General Obligation Funds	Y			
Incur Debt through Special Tax and Revenue Bonds	N			
Incur Debt through Private Activity Bonds	N			
Withhold Spending in Hazard-Prone Areas	Y			
Other (i.e., coastal, sustainability, or climate change initiatives; or Brownfield funds)	Ν			



:	Would you classify your community's <u>legal and</u> <u>regulatory</u> capability to implement hazard mitigation strategies as high, moderate, or low?	Would you classify your community's overall <u>technical</u> capability to implement hazard mitigation strategies as high, moderate, or low?	Would you classify your community's overall <u>fiscal</u> capability to implement hazard mitigation strategies as high, moderate, or low?	Would you classify your community's overall <u>administrative</u> capability to implement hazard mitigation strategies as high, moderate, or low?	Would you classify your political leadership's willingness to enact policies and programs that reduce hazard vulnerabilities in your community as high, moderate, or low - even if met with opposition? (i.e., guiding development away from identified hazard areas)?
D	N	M	L	M	M

Discuss ways of bridging identified gaps in your local capabilities to ensure that they are

in-line with your mitigation actions and goals. Council is not usually afraid to enact policies they feel are in the best interest of the City even if residents voice opposition. City actively pursues grant funding for needed improvements since have limited budget.



Plan Integration

It is the intention of Egg Harbor City to incorporate mitigation planning as an integral component of daily municipal operations. The balance of this subsection describes local accomplishments over the last planning cycle (2016-2021), and targeted activities for the next planning cycle (2022-2027), as reported by the city's CPG member and shared with the consultant for incorporation here.

<u>Demonstration of Progress over the Last Planning Cycle (2016-2021)</u> – Egg Harbor City undertook the following plan integration mechanisms over the last planning cycle:

Over the last planning cycle we have made some progress on plan integration. We have worked with our department heads to solicit their support and explore opportunities for further integration of hazard mitigation into the daily activities of the community as a whole. The City has adopted and enforces the minimum building standards established in the current State-adopted IBC (2018 NJ edition). The City continues to maintain community participation in FEMA's National Flood Insurance Program. The Department of Public Works inspects and clean debris from streams and ditches as needed.

Also, when needed, the governing body will reach out to state agencies for assistance with natural hazard mitigation activities. The City continues to enforce a local stormwater management plan/ordinance. Emergency management has updated its community emergency operations plan in 2019.

<u>Targeted Plan Integration Activities for the Next Planning Cycle (2022-2027)</u> – Egg Harbor City plans to implement the following plan integration mechanisms into local government operations from this point forward through the next planning cycle:

Plan integration activities we plan to undertake over the next plan maintenance cycle are:

A. Incorporate hazard mitigation for natural hazards in the next update of our master plan. Add a specific hazard mitigation element to the next update of our master, general or comprehensive plan. The City authorized an update of our Master Plan in 2021.

B. Revise capital or operating budgets to include a line item for mitigation project funding.

C. Adopt (or continue to enforce) a local stormwater management plan/ordinance. – The NJDEP is requiring municipalities to update their stormwater ordinance. Once the Pinelands Commission adopts their amendments, the City will revise its ordinance.

D. Sponsor training on best practices for hazard mitigation for local government staff. (Note: this may be accomplished with other local governments.)



Mitigation Strategy

This subsection sets forth the mitigation strategy for Egg Harbor City. It describes, in the following order:

- Progress on 2016 Plan Actions
- Hazard Mitigation Accomplishments
- Proposed 2022 Plan Mitigation Actions
- Action Worksheets

Progress on 2016 Plan Actions

The following table was completed by the Egg Harbor City JAT Committee Members. It summarizes the progress that was made on the local hazard mitigation initiatives that were set forth in the last version of the plan in 2016.

Egg Harbor City has identified no major changes in priorities since the last version of the plan.



				PROGRESS ON 2016 H	IAZARD MI	TIGATION	PLAN INI	TIATIVES	i de la companya de l			
						Stat	us			Relev	vance	
2016 Plan Initiative Number	Mitigation Initiative Description	cription 2016 Plan Haza Priority Mitig		Lead and Support Agencies	Completed	Initiated but Not Completed	Ongoing Type of Activity	Not Initiated	Status Details	Still Relevant - Carry Forward to Updated Mitigation Strategy	No Longer Relevant - Omit from Updated Mitigation Strategy	Relevance Details
1	Participation in County Plan Update	High	All	CFO, City Council, JAT Team	Х					X		No change
2	Retrofit dam to prevent to prevent overtopping during a hazard event; retrofit retaining wall and spillway	High	Flood	CityEngineer		Х			Working on finalizing funding	X		No change
3	Increase carrying capacity of creeks	Medium	Flood	City Engineer			Х		Staff availability	Х		no change
4	Identify and prioritize with residents/business owners to trim trees and develop City-wide maintenance program. Acquire equipment and obtain training to allow City personnel to remove fallen tree.	Medium	Flood, Severe Storm, Severe Winter Storm	Public Works Department/Utility Committee, City Engineer			Х		lack of funding for equipment needed, limited staff	Х		No change
5	Assess system and identify locations to upgrade City water & sewer mains	High	Drought, Earthquake	Utility Committee, City Engineer		X			A study was completed of the system in 2017. The City is in the final steps of selling the utility system to NJ American Water Company.	x		City will complete sale of water & sewer system to New Jersey American Water in late 2021 or early 2022.
6	Prioritize and investigate options to mitigate vulnerable structures	High	Flood, Severe Storm, Severe Winter Storm, Wildfire	Mayor & Council, Land Use Board				Х		Х		No change
7	Develop and implement and enhanced all hazard, public outreach, education, and mitigation information plan. Prepare and distribute surveys, provide information in mailings, use various media to distribute information, and develop/maintain page on City website dedicated to hazard mitigation.	High	All	City Finance Office, City OEM, City Clerk			х		Limited staff to work on this	X		No change
8	Acquire and install a new generator at City Hall	High	All	City Finance Office, City Engineer	X						Х	New generator installed in 2017 through FEMA grant.
9	Code update: Review existing local codes and ordinances against the identified hazards to determine whether there need to be any amendments to address identified hazards and, where a need is identified, modify/amend the codes/ordinances as applicable.	High	All	Mayor & Council			Х		Limited funds to pay professionals for this (Planner, engineer, attorneys)	Х		No change



				PROGRESS ON 2016 H	AZARD MI	TIGATION	PLAN INI	TIATIVES				
						Stat	tus			Relev	vance	
2016 Plan Initiative Number	Mitigation Initiative Description	2016 Plan Priority	Hazard(s) Mitigated	Lead and Support Agencies	Completed	Initiated but Not Completed	Ongoing Type of Activity	Not Initiated	Status Details	Still Relevant - Carry Forward to Updated Mitigation Strategy	No Longer Relevant - Omit from Updated Mitigation Strategy	Relevance Details
10	Code enforcement: Enforcement of State and Local Building Codes with Continual CEO training.	High	All	Code Enforcement Officials			Х			Х		No change
11	Ensure that local comprehensive plans incorporate natural disaster mitigation techniques through a courtesy review of draft plans by the County Planning Department	Medium	All	Emergency Management Department	Х				Emergency operations plan submitted to County	Х		No change
12	Update information on the local web site regarding preparing for emergencies to incorporate profiled hazards not already discussed in these documents, and ensure that the information continues to be maintained on the web site.	High	All	Finance & City Clerk Departments			Х		Limited staff to work on this	Х		No change
13	Hold periodic workshops for municipalities regarding zoning and planning issuesthat arise regarding natural hazards and hazard mitigation	Medium	All	Land Use Board & Mayor & Council			х		Limited funds to pay professionals for this (Planner, engineer, attorneys)	Х		No change
14	Ensure that the principles of this hazard mitigation plan are integrated into the new and updated development plans/strategies.	Medium	All	Land Use Board			х			Х		No change



Hazard Mitigation Accomplishments (2016-2021)

The State of New Jersey 2019 HMP (Section 3, Page 3-30) requires identification of mitigation activities as an essential element of a local plan review. Information on the status of long-range mitigation strategies shall be contained in the original plan. Self-funded mitigation initiatives as well as past FEMA funded projects should be documented. The following table summarizes past mitigation accomplishments in Egg Harbor City since the last version of the plan was adopted in 2016.

Past Mitigation Accomplishments							
Desis et	Stat		Funding Courses	Relationship to			
Project	Project Approved/Active Completed Year Year		Funding Source	Plan			
Participation in County Plan Update	2015	2016	Local-in kind County paying for consultant	in the plan			
Acquire and install a new generator at City Hall	2016	2017	75,000 FEMA, 5,000 Local 80,000 total	In the plan			
Ensure that local comprehensive plans incorporate natural disaster mitigation techniques through a courtesy review of draft plans by the County Planning Department	2016	2019	No cost	in the plan			
Assess system and identify locations to upgrade City water & sewer mains	2016	2018	42,000 local	in the plan			



Proposed 2022 Plan Mitigation Actions

The process for selection and prioritization of mitigation actions is described in greater detail in Section 6 of the main text. The outcomes of that overall process are summarized here.

This plan proposes the actions determined to be the most appropriate for the resources and capabilities of Egg Harbor City based on the experience of local officials, with input from the public and other stakeholders. Potential actions were reviewed relative to potential financial as well as administrative and legal costs and the degree to which they would be endorsed by the public. Potential actions were reviewed during the meetings relative to their potential benefit of effectiveness in saving lives, protecting the natural environment, and reducing disruption and damage. Actions selected by each jurisdiction include activities to protect existing and future structures and infrastructure and enhance community resilience.

Part of enhancing community resilience involves adapting to a changing climate. This plan's risk assessment includes information on climate change as part of the hazard vulnerability analysis and contain strategies/projects to address increased vulnerability that may result from climate change. By developing mitigating strategies and/or projects for hazards that are exacerbated by climate change, jurisdictions will better protect residents, avoid, or reduce damage to property and public infrastructure, and reduce personal hardship. Previous sections of this plan have presented information on how climate change may affect jurisdictional vulnerability or increased frequency of occurrence and/or severity in exposure to the identified hazards. Climate change is addressed by mitigating the various hazards that it exacerbates. Egg Harbor City has proposed a range of hazard mitigation initiatives to address their highest hazards including those hazards that are exacerbated by a changing climate.

Action priorities were determined by a qualitative prioritization process. A higher priority was assigned to projects where: the life/safety risk of taking no action was deemed to be unacceptably high; the project addresses one of the community's highest hazards and/or key risks; benefits were projected to equal or exceed project costs; critical facilities or key local assets were being protected; funding and staff resources were deemed to be sufficient and/or accessible for project implementation; the project is technically feasible and the community determined their legal authority to implement the action; negative environmental and/or social impacts were not identified during the assessment, or were very minimal; and/or where there was overall support for the project from the local community (government officials, public, and stakeholders).

Detailed Action Worksheets for each action item in the strategy are presented in the following pages.



	Action Worksheet				
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan				
Your community name	City of Egg Harbor				
Community action number	EHC-1				
	Assessing the Risk				
Hazard(s) addressed	All				
Risk finding	Did not participate in last County plan				
	Describing the Action				
Action category	Planning & Regulatory Solutions				
Action type	Participation in County Plan Update				
Action description	Participation in County Plan Update				
Existing, future &/or NA	Existing				
	Evaluating the Action				
Losses avoided	Avoid not being able to apply for mitigation funding, allow City to identify				
(i.e., benefits)	hazards and steps to mitigate them				
Cost estimate	\$2,000 mostly through in-kind services				
Cost effectiveness	Benefits outweigh minor costs. Is a low cost action that can be easily				
(i.e., benefit/cost)	implemented.				
Technical	Technically feasible. City recently completed plan with 3 other municipalities				
	which will be incorporated into this plan.				
Political	No apparent political issues.				
Legal	Action is legal and similar work recently completed for 4 muni plan.				
Environmental	No environmental impacts.				
Social	Does not adversely affect any social group.				
Administrative capability	Administrative tasks to be mostly handled by City's Chief Financial Officer.				
Local champion	City Council and Land Use Board.				
Other community objectives	Ability to apply for grants.				
	Implementing the Action				
Priority	High				
Local planning mechanism	N/A				
Responsible party	CFO, City Council, JAT Team				
Potential funding sources	In-Kind Services				
Time line	1 Year				
	Reporting on Progress				
Action progress status	Currently participating in plan update, all work submitted to County/Contractor				
	in a timely manner				



	Action Worksheet					
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan					
Your community name	City of Egg Harbor					
Community action number	EHC-2					
	Assessing the Risk					
Hazard(s) addressed	Flood					
Risk finding	City Dam needs replacement of retaining wall, spillway, and overlapping					
Ū	protection					
	Describing the Action					
Action category	Structure & Infrastructure Projects					
Action type	Flood Control					
Action description	Retrofit dam to prevent to prevent overtopping during a hazard event; retrofit					
	retaining wall and spillway					
Existing, future &/or NA	Future					
	Evaluating the Action					
Losses avoided	Avoid collapse of dam, destruction of lake and property surrounding lake					
(i.e., benefits)	including campground					
Cost estimate	\$1,220,000					
Cost effectiveness	Benefits outweigh costs as need to protect area.					
(i.e., benefit/cost)						
Technical	Technically feasible. Would require contracted engineering services.					
Political	No apparent political issues.					
Legal	No apparent legal issues.					
Environmental	Engineers/pinelands would be consulted to determine any environmental impacts.					
Social	Does not adversely affect any social group.					
Administrative capability	Administrative tasks to be handled by City's Chief Financial Officer and City					
	Engineer.					
Local champion	Mayor & Council, Parks & Playgrounds Committee					
Other community objectives	N/A					
	Implementing the Action					
Priority	High					
Local planning mechanism	N/A					
Responsible party	City Engineer					
Potential funding sources	HMGP					
Time line	1 Year					
	Reporting on Progress					
Action progress status	Have applied for LOI after Hurricane Sandy for HMGP funding Received a \$10,000 grant from ACE/Sustainable Jersey-with matching funds should be able to complete retaining wall/spillway work in early 2022, some concrete repairs made by public works in early 2021.					



	Action Worksheet	
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan	
Your community name	City of Egg Harbor	
Community action number	EHC-3	
	Assessing the Risk	
Hazard(s) addressed	Flood	
Risk finding	Allow stormwater to flow adequately and limit damage to adjacent properties	
	Describing the Action	
Action category	Natural Systems Protection	
Action type	Drainage improvement	
Action description	Increase carrying capacity of creeks	
Existing, future &/or NA	Future	
	Evaluating the Action	
Losses avoided	Avoid damage to properties adjacent to creeks	
(i.e., benefits)		
Cost estimate	Medium - between \$10,000-100,000	
Cost effectiveness	Benefits outweigh costs as need to protect area.	
(i.e., benefit/cost)		
Technical	Technically feasible. Would require contracted engineering services.	
Political	No apparent political issues.	
Legal	No apparent legal issues.	
Environmental	Engineers/pinelands would be consulted to determine any environmental impacts.	
Social	Does not adversely affect any social group.	
Administrative capability	Administrative tasks to be handled by City's Chief Financial Officer and City Engineer.	
Local champion	Mayor & Council, Eyren Hafen Land Preserve Inc.	
Other community objectives	N/A	
	Implementing the Action	
Priority	Medium	
Local planning mechanism	N/A	
Responsible party	City Engineer	
Potential funding sources	HMGP, NJDEP, County, City Budget	
Time line	1 Year	
	Reporting on Progress	
Action progress status	No action taken at this time	



	Action Worksheet	
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan	
Your community name	City of Egg Harbor	
Community action number	EHC-4	
	Assessing the Risk	
Hazard(s) addressed	Flood, Severe Storm, Severe Winter Storm	
Risk finding	Trees may topple or adversely affect structures, infrastructure, or roadways	
	during a storm.	
	Describing the Action	
Action category	Education and Awareness Programs	
Action type	Education and public outreach	
Action description	Identify and prioritize with residents/business owners to trim trees and develop	
	City-wide maintenance program. Acquire equipment and obtain training to allow	
	City personnel to remove fallen tree.	
Existing, future &/or NA	Existing	
	Evaluating the Action	
Losses avoided	Avoid damage to structures, infrastructure, and roadways from toppled trees.	
(i.e., benefits)		
Cost estimate	Medium - between \$10,000-100,000	
Cost effectiveness	Benefits outweigh costs as need to protect structures, infrastructure, and roads.	
(i.e., benefit/cost)		
Technical	Technically feasible. May require contracted engineering services.	
Political	Political issues are that some groups want street trees and some groups want	
	trees removed.	
Legal	Legal issues may arise as to who owns a particular tree depending on location.	
Environmental	Engineers/pinelands would be consulted to determine any environmental impacts.	
Social	Does not adversely affect any social group.	
Administrative capability	Administrative tasks to be handled by City's Chief Financial Officer and City	
	Engineer.	
Local champion	Mayor & Council, Sustainable (City's Green Team), Shade Tree Committee	
Other community objectives	N/A	
	Implementing the Action	
Priority	Medium	
Local planning mechanism	N/A	
Responsible party	Public Works Department/Utility Committee, City Engineer	
Potential funding sources	NJDEP, City Budget	
Time line	On-going	
	Reporting on Progress	
Action progress status	Shade Tree Committee member maintains inventory of City trees, reviews after	
	major storms	



Action Worksheet	
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	City of Egg Harbor
Community action number	EHC-5
•	Assessing the Risk
Hazard(s) addressed	Drought, Earthquake
Risk finding	Adequate drinking water may not be available, flooding may occur from broken
	mains
	Describing the Action
Action category	Structure and Infrastructure Projects
Action type	Upgrade
Action description	Assess system and identify locations to upgrade City water & sewer mains
Existing, future &/or NA	Future
	Evaluating the Action
Losses avoided	Avoid damage to structures, infrastructure, and roadways from broken mains,
(i.e., benefits)	ensure residents always have access to safe drinking water
Cost estimate	High - Several million dollars
Cost effectiveness	Benefits outweigh costs as need to protect structures, infrastructure, and roads.
(i.e., benefit/cost)	
Technical	Technically feasible. Will require contracted engineering services.
Political	Political issues are that some groups may feel City should privatize water system.
Legal	No apparent legal issues.
Environmental	Engineers/pinelands would be consulted to determine any environmental impacts.
Social	Does not adversely affect any social group.
Administrative capability	Administrative tasks to be handled by City's Chief Financial Officer and City
	Engineer.
Local champion	Mayor & Council, Utility Committee
Other community objectives	Supports City capital plan
	Implementing the Action
Priority	High
Local planning mechanism	N/A
Responsible party	Utility Committee, City Engineer
Potential funding sources	Various Grants, USDA Rural Development, City Budget
Time line	Long term
	Reporting on Progress
Action progress status	A study of the sytem was completed in 2017. In process of selling utility system
	to NJAWC, they have committed to completing millions of infrastructure
	improvements in first 5 years. Sale should be complete by late 2021 or early 2022.



Action Worksheet	
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	Egg Harbor City
Community action number	EHC-6
	Assessing the Risk
Hazard(s) addressed	Flood, Severe Storm, Severe Winter Storm, Wildfire
Risk finding	Protect structures from damage
	Describing the Action
Action category	Structure and Infrastructure Projects
Action type	Retrofit (Elevation, Flood-proofing), Acquisition/Relocation
Action description	Prioritize and investigate options to mitigate vulnerable structures
Existing, future &/or NA	Existing (identify)/Future (specific project implementation)
	Evaluating the Action
Losses avoided	Avoid damage to structures.
(i.e., benefits)	
Cost estimate	High - Over \$100,000
Cost effectiveness	Benefits outweigh costs as need to protect structures.
(i.e., benefit/cost)	
Technical	Technically feasible. Would prevent damage to vulnerable properties.
Political	No apparent political issues.
Legal	No apparent legal issues.
Environmental	Engineers/pinelands would be consulted to determine any environmental impacts.
Social	Does not adversely affect any social group.
Administrative capability	Administrative tasks to be handled by City's Chief Financial Officer and City Engineer.
Local champion	Mayor & Council
Other community objectives	Could lead to lower flood insurance premiums
	Implementing the Action
Priority	High
Local planning mechanism	Building Subcode
Responsible party	Mayor & Council, Land Use Board
Potential funding sources	FEMA Mitigation grant, City budget, homeowner, County housing grants
Time line	Ongoing (identify) & Long term (specific projects)
	Reporting on Progress
Action progress status	Two properties have been identified as vulnerable: 1.Oken Street and perimeter
	around lake and 2.Green Bank, Creek, and Lower Bank Roads

Your plan name Attontic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Your community and Egg Harbor City Community action number EHC-7 Assessing the Risk All hazard(s) addressed All hazard(s) addressed All hazards identified in HMP Risk finding Public understanding of hazard mitigation and its benefits are limited Describing the Action Describing the Action Action category Education and Awareness Programs Action description Develop and implement and enhanced all hazard, public outreach, education, and mitigation information plan. Prepare and distribute surveys, provide information in mailings, use various media to distribute information, and develop/maintain page on City website dedicated to hazard mitigation. Existing, future &/or NA Evising Existing the Action Public awareness will build support for mitigation, encourage homeowners to create a demand for better construction practices and reduce avoidable injuries and emergencies Cost estimate Low - \$1,000 Cost estimate Low - \$1,000 Cost estimate No apparent political issues. Legal Action is legal and educational efforts have been previously used for messages on public softey and welfare. Political No apparent political issues.	Action Worksheet	
Community action number EHC-7 Assessing the Risk Hazard(s) addressed All hazards identified in HMP Risk finding Public understanding of hazard mitigation and its benefits are limited Describing the Action Describing the Action Action category Education and Awareness Programs Action type Public Awareness and Education Program Action description Develop and implement and enhanced all hazard, public outreach, education, and mitigation information plan. Prepare and distribute surveys, provide information in mailings, use various media to distribute information, and develop/maintain page on City website dedicated to hazard mitigation. Existing, future &/or NA Existing Existing future &/or NA Existing Cost estimate Low + \$1,000 Cost estimate Low + \$1,000 Cost estimate Low + \$1,000 Cost effectiveness Benefits outweigh minor costs. This is a low cost action that can be easily implemented. Inechnical Technically feasible. Building public awareness has proven to reduce risk. Political No apparent political issues. Legal Action is legal and educational efforts have been previously used for messages on public safety and welfare. No a	Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Assessing the Risk Hazard(s) addressed All hazards identified in HMP Risk finding Public understanding of hazard mitigation and its benefits are limited Describing the Action Action category Education and Awareness Programs Action description Develop and implement and enhanced all hazard, public outreach, education, and mitigation information plan. Prepare and distribute surveys, provide information in mailings, use various media to distribute information, and develop/maintain page on City website dedicated to hazard mitigation. Existing, future &/or NA Existing Uses avoided Public awareness will build support for mitigation, encourage homeowners to create a demand for better construction practices and reduce avoidable injuries and emergencies Cost estimate Low - \$1,000 Cost estimate Low - \$1,000 Cost estimate Low - \$1,000 Cost estimate No apparent political issues. Legal Action is legal and educational efforts have been previously used for messages on public safety and welfare. Political No adverse on y differ. any social group. Administrative capability Administrative cost considered low, City has capability. Logal Octourty Finance Office, City OEM, City Clerk Political D	Your community name	Egg Harbor City
Hazard(s) addressed All hazards identified in HMP Risk finding Public understanding of hazard mitigation and its benefits are limited Action category Education and Awareness Programs Action type Public Awareness and Education Program Action description Develop and implement and enhanced all hazard, public outreach, education, and mitigation information plan. Prepare and distribute surveys, provide information in mailings, use various media to distribute information, and develop/maintain page on City website dedicated to hazard mitigation. Existing, future &/or NA Existing Losses avoided Public awareness will build support for mitigation, encourage homeowners to create a demand for better construction practices and reduce avoidable injuries and emergencies Cost estimate Low - \$1,000 Cost estimate Low - \$1,000 Cost effectiveness Benefits outweigh minor costs. This is a low cost action that can be easily implemented. Technical Technically feasible. Building public awareness has proven to reduce risk. Political No apparent political issues. Legal Action is legal and educational efforts have been previously used for messages on public safety and weffere. Environmental No adversel yffect any social group. Administrative capability Administrative costs considered low, City has capability. </th <th>Community action number</th> <th>EHC-7</th>	Community action number	EHC-7
Risk finding Public understanding of hazard mitigation and its benefits are limited Describing the Action Action category Education and Awareness Programs Action type Public Awareness and Education Program Action description Develop and implement and enhanced all hazard, public outreach, education, and mitigation information plan. Prepare and distribute surveys, provide information in mailings, use various media to distribute information, and develop/maintain page on City website dedicated to hazard mitigation. Existing, future &/or NA Existing Existing, future &/or NA Existing Cost estimate Public awareness will build support for mitigation, encourage homeowners to create a demand for better construction practices and reduce avoidable injuries and emergencies Cost estimate Low - \$1,000 Cost effectiveness Benefits outweigh minor costs. This is a low cost action that can be easily implemented. Technical Technically feesible. Building public awareness has proven to reduce risk. Political No apparent political issues. Legal Action is legal and educational efforts have been previously used for messages on public safety and welfare. Environmental No adverse environmental impacts. Social Does not adversely affect any social group.		Assessing the Risk
Describing the Action Action category Education and Awareness Programs Action type Public Awareness and Education Program Action description Develop and implement and enhanced all hazard, public outreach, education, and mitigation information plan. Prepare and distribute surveys, provide information in mailings, use various media to distribute information, and develop/maintain page on City website dedicated to hazard mitigation. Existing, future &/or NA Existing Evaluating the Action Losses avoided Public awareness will build support for mitigation, encourage homeowners to create a demand for better construction practices and reduce avoidable injuries and emergencies Cost estimate Low - \$1,000 Cost effectiveness Benefits outweigh minor casts. This is a low cost action that can be easily implemented. Political No apparent political issues. Legal Action is legal and educational efforts have been previously used for messages on public safety and welfare. Environmental No adverse environmental impacts. Social Does not adversely offect any social group. Administrative capability Administrative costs considered low, City has capability. Local champion Courty OEM, Schools Other community objectives Coul lead to lower fload insurance	Hazard(s) addressed	All hazards identified in HMP
Action category Education and Awareness Programs Action type Public Awareness and Education Program Action description Develop and implement and enhanced all hazard, public outreach, education, and mitigation information plan. Prepare and distribute surveys, provide information in mailings, use various media to distribute information, and develop/maintain page on City website dedicated to hazard mitigation. Existing, future &/or NA Existing Evaluating the Action Losses avoided Public awareness will build support for mitigation, encourage homeowners to create a demand for better construction practices and reduce avoidable injuries and emergencies Cost estimate Low - \$1,000 Cost effectiveness Benefits outweigh minor costs. This is a low cost action that can be easily implemented. Technical Technical y feasible. Building public awareness has proven to reduce risk. Political No apparent political issues. Legal Action is legal and educational efforts have been previously used for messages on public safety and welfare. Environmental No adverse environmental impacts. Social Does not adversely affect any social group. Administrative capability Administrative costs considered low, City has capability. Local champion Courty OEM, Schools Other community objectives Could	Risk finding	Public understanding of hazard mitigation and its benefits are limited
Action type Public Awareness and Education Program Action description Develop and implement and enhanced all hazard, public outreach, education, and mitigation information plan. Prepare and distribute surveys, provide information in mailings, use various media to distribute information, and develop/maintain page on City website dedicated to hazard mitigation. Existing, future &/or NA Existing Existing, future &/or NA Existing Existing Public awareness will build support for mitigation, encourage homeowners to create a demand for better construction practices and reduce avoidable injuries and emergencies Cost estimate Low + \$1,000 Cost effectiveness Benefits outweigh minor costs. This is a low cost action that can be easily implemented. Technical Technically feasible. Building public awareness has proven to reduce risk. Political No apparent political issues. Legal Action is legal and educational efforts have been previously used for messages on public safety and welfare. Environmental No adverse environmental impacts. Social Does not adversely affect any social group. Administrative capability Administrative costs considered low, City has capability. Local champion County OEM, Schools Other community objectives Could lead to lower flood insurance premiums Impl		Describing the Action
Action description Develop and implement and enhanced all hazard, public outreach, education, and mitigation information plan. Prepare and distribute surveys, provide information in mailings, use various media to distribute information, and develop/maintain page on City website dedicated to hazard mitigation. Existing, future &/or NA Existing Evaluating the Action Losses avoided Public awareness will build support for mitigation, encourage homeowners to create a demand for better construction practices and reduce avoidable injuries and emergencies Cost estimate Low - \$1,000 Cost effectiveness Benefits outweigh minor costs. This is a low cost action that can be easily implemented. Technical Technically feasible. Building public awareness has proven to reduce risk. Political No apparent political issues. Legal Action is legal and educational efforts have been previously used for messages on public safety and welfare. Environmental No adverse environmental impacts. Social Does not adversely affect any social group. Administrative capability Administrative costs considered low, City has capability. Local champion County OEM, Schools Other community objectives Could lead to lower flood insurance premiums Implementing the Action Priority High Local planning mechanis	Action category	Education and Awareness Programs
mitigation information plan. Prepare and distribute surveys, provide information in mailings, use various media to distribute information, and develop/maintain page on City website dedicated to hazard mitigation.Existing, future &/or NAExistingEvaluating the ActionEvaluating the ActionLosses avoided (i.e., benefits)Public awareness will build support for mitigation, encourage homeowners to create a demand for better construction practices and reduce avoidable injuries and emergenciesCost estimateLow - \$1,000Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost)Benefits outweigh minor costs. This is a low cost action that can be easily implemented.PoliticalNo apparent political issues.LegalAction is legal and educational efforts have been previously used for messages on public sofety and welfare.EnvironmentalNo adverse environmental impacts.SocialDoes not adversely affect any social group.Administrative capabilityAdministrative costs considered low, City has capability.Local championCourty OEM, SchoolsOther community objectivesCity Finance Office, City OEM, City ClerkPriorityHighLocal planning mechanismN/AResponsible partyCity Finance Office, City OEM, City ClerkPotential funding sourcesCity budgetTime line1 year	Action type	Public Awareness and Education Program
in mailings, use various media to distribute information, and develop/maintain page on City website dedicated to hazard mitigation.Existing, future &/or NAExistingEvaluating the ActionLosses avoided (i.e., benefits)Public awareness will build support for mitigation, encourage homeowners to create a demand for better construction practices and reduce avoidable injuries and emergenciesCost estimateLow - \$1,000Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost)Benefits outweigh minor costs. This is a low cost action that can be easily implemented.PoliticalNo apparent political issues.Legal Action is legal and educational efforts have been previously used for messages on public safety and welfare.Environmental Administrative capabilityDoes not adversely affect any social group.Administrative capability Local championCounty OEM, SchoolsOther community objectives Local planning mechanismM/AResponsible partyCity Finance Office, City OEM, City ClerkPotential funding sources City budgetCity budget	Action description	Develop and implement and enhanced all hazard, public outreach, education, and
page on City website dedicated to hazard mitigation.Existing, future &/or NAExistingEvaluating the ActionLosses avoided (i.e., benefits)Public awareness will build support for mitigation, encourage homeowners to create a demand for better construction practices and reduce avoidable injuries and emergenciesCost estimateLow - \$1,000Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost)Benefits outweigh minor costs. This is a low cost action that can be easily implemented.TechnicalTechnically feasible. Building public awareness has proven to reduce risk.PoliticalNo apparent political issues.Legal Action is legal and educational efforts have been previously used for messages on public safety and welfare.Environmental No adverse environmental impacts.Social Does not adversely affect any social group.Administrative capability Administrative costs considered low, City has capability.Local champion County OEM, SchoolsOther community objectives Could lead to lower flood insurance premiumsPriority HighLocal planning mechanism N/AResponsible party City Finance Office, City OEM, City ClerkPotential funding sources City budgetTime line 11Year		mitigation information plan. Prepare and distribute surveys, provide information
Existing, future &/or NA Existing Evaluating the Action Losses avoided (i.e., benefits) Public awareness will build support for mitigation, encourage homeowners to create a demand for better construction practices and reduce avoidable injuries and emergencies Cost estimate Low - \$1,000 Cost effectiveness Benefits outweigh minor costs. This is a low cost action that can be easily implemented. Technical Technically feasible. Building public awareness has proven to reduce risk. Political No apparent political issues. Legal Action is legal and educational efforts have been previously used for messages on public safety and welfare. Environmental No adverse environmental impacts. Social Does not adversely affect any social group. Administrative capability Administrative costs considered low, City has capability. Local champion County OEM, Schools Other community objectives Could lead to lower flood insurance premiums Priority High Local planning mechanism N/A Responsible party City Finance Office, City OEM, City Clerk Potential funding sources City budget Time line 1 year		in mailings, use various media to distribute information, and develop/maintain
Evaluating the Action Losses avoided Public awareness will build support for mitigation, encourage homeowners to create a demand for better construction practices and reduce avoidable injuries and emergencies Cost estimate Low - \$1,000 Cost effectiveness Benefits outweigh minor costs. This is a low cost action that can be easily implemented. Technical Technically feasible. Building public awareness has proven to reduce risk. Political No apparent political issues. Legal Action is legal and educational efforts have been previously used for messages on public safety and welfare. Environmental No adverse environmental impacts. Social Does not adversely affect any social group. Administrative capability Administrative costs considered low, City has capability. Local champion County OEM, Schools Other community objectives Could lead to lower flood insurance premiums Priority High Local planning mechanism N/A Responsible party City Finance Office, City OEM, City Clerk Potential funding sources City budget Time line 1 year		page on City website dedicated to hazard mitigation.
Losses avoided (i.e., benefits)Public awareness will build support for mitigation, encourage homeowners to create a demand for better construction practices and reduce avoidable injuries and emergenciesCost estimateLow - \$1,000Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost)Benefits outweigh minor costs. This is a low cost action that can be easily implemented.TechnicalTechnical IV feasible. Building public awareness has proven to reduce risk.PoliticalNo apparent political issues.LegalAction is legal and educational efforts have been previously used for messages on public safety and welfare.EnvironmentalNo adverse environmental impacts.SocialDoes not adversely affect any social group.Administrative capability Local championCourty OEM, SchoolsOther community objectivesCould lead to lower flood insurance premiumsPriorityHigh Local planning mechanism N/AResponsible partyCity Finance Office, City OEM, City ClerkPotential funding sourcesCity budgetTime line1 year	Existing, future &/or NA	Existing
(i.e., benefits)create a demand for better construction practices and reduce avoidable injuries and emergenciesCost estimateLow - \$1,000Cost effectivenessBenefits outweigh minor costs. This is a low cost action that can be easily implemented.TechnicalTechnically feasible. Building public awareness has proven to reduce risk.PoliticalNo apparent political issues.LegalAction is legal and educational efforts have been previously used for messages on public safety and welfare.EnvironmentalNo adverse environmental impacts.SocialDoes not adversely affect any social group.Administrative capabilityAdministrative costs considered low, City has capability.Local championCounty OEM, SchoolsOther community objectivesCould lead to lower flood insurance premiumsPriorityHighLocal planning mechanismN/AResponsible partyCity Finance Office, City OEM, City ClerkPotential funding sourcesCity budgetTime line1 year		Evaluating the Action
and emergenciesCost estimateLow - \$1,000Cost effectivenessBenefits outweigh minor costs. This is a low cost action that can be easily implemented.TechnicalTechnically feasible. Building public awareness has proven to reduce risk.PoliticalNo apparent political issues.LegalAction is legal and educational efforts have been previously used for messages on public safety and welfare.EnvironmentalNo adverse environmental impacts.SocialDoes not adversely affect any social group.Administrative capabilityAdministrative costs considered low, City has capability.Local championCounty OEM, SchoolsOther community objectivesCould lead to lower flood insurance premiumsPriorityHighLocal planning mechanismN/AResponsible partyCity Finance Office, City OEM, City ClerkPotential funding sourcesCity budgetTime line1 year	Losses avoided	Public awareness will build support for mitigation, encourage homeowners to
Cost estimate Low - \$1,000 Cost effectiveness Benefits outweigh minor costs. This is a low cost action that can be easily implemented. Technical Technically feasible. Building public awareness has proven to reduce risk. Political No apparent political issues. Legal Action is legal and educational efforts have been previously used for messages on public safety and welfare. Environmental No adverse environmental impacts. Social Does not adversely affect any social group. Administrative capability Administrative costs considered low, City has capability. Local champion Could lead to lower flood insurance premiums Priority High Local planning mechanism N/A Responsible party City Finance Office, City OEM, City Clerk Potential funding sources City budget Time line 1 year	(i.e., benefits)	create a demand for better construction practices and reduce avoidable injuries
Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost)Benefits outweigh minor costs. This is a low cost action that can be easily implemented.TechnicalTechnically feasible. Building public awareness has proven to reduce risk.PoliticalNo apparent political issues.LegalAction is legal and educational efforts have been previously used for messages on public safety and welfare.EnvironmentalNo adverse environmental impacts.SocialDoes not adversely affect any social group.Administrative capabilityAdministrative costs considered low, City has capability.Local championCould lead to lower flood insurance premiumsOther community objectivesCould lead to lower flood insurance premiumsPriorityHighLocal planning mechanismN/AResponsible partyCity Finance Office, City OEM, City ClerkPotential funding sourcesCity budgetTime line1 year		and emergencies
(i.e., benefit/cost)implemented.TechnicalTechnically feasible. Building public awareness has proven to reduce risk.PoliticalNo apparent political issues.LegalAction is legal and educational efforts have been previously used for messages on public safety and welfare.EnvironmentalNo adverse environmental impacts.SocialDoes not adversely affect any social group.Administrative capabilityAdministrative costs considered low, City has capability.Local championCounty OEM, SchoolsOther community objectivesCould lead to lower flood insurance premiumsPriorityHighLocal planning mechanismN/AResponsible partyCity Finance Office, City OEM, City ClerkPotential funding sourcesCity budgetTime line1 year	Cost estimate	Low - \$1,000
TechnicalTechnically feasible. Building public awareness has proven to reduce risk.PoliticalNo apparent political issues.LegalAction is legal and educational efforts have been previously used for messages on public safety and welfare.EnvironmentalNo adverse environmental impacts.SocialDoes not adversely affect any social group.Administrative capabilityAdministrative costs considered low, City has capability.Local championCounty OEM, SchoolsOther community objectivesCould lead to lower flood insurance premiumsPriorityHighLocal planning mechanismN/AResponsible partyCity Finance Office, City OEM, City ClerkPotential funding sourcesCity budgetTime line1 year	Cost effectiveness	Benefits outweigh minor costs. This is a low cost action that can be easily
Political No apparent political issues. Legal Action is legal and educational efforts have been previously used for messages on public safety and welfare. Environmental No adverse environmental impacts. Social Does not adversely affect any social group. Administrative capability Administrative costs considered low, City has capability. Local champion County OEM, Schools Other community objectives Could lead to lower flood insurance premiums Implementing the Action Priority High Local planning mechanism N/A Responsible party City Finance Office, City OEM, City Clerk Potential funding sources City budget Time line 1 year	(i.e., benefit/cost)	implemented.
LegalAction is legal and educational efforts have been previously used for messages on public safety and welfare.EnvironmentalNo adverse environmental impacts.SocialDoes not adversely affect any social group.Administrative capabilityAdministrative costs considered low, City has capability.Local championCounty OEM, SchoolsOther community objectivesCould lead to lower flood insurance premiumsPriorityHighLocal planning mechanismN/AResponsible partyCity Finance Office, City OEM, City ClerkPotential funding sourcesCity budgetTime line1 year	Technical	Technically feasible. Building public awareness has proven to reduce risk.
public safety and welfare.EnvironmentalNo adverse environmental impacts.SocialDoes not adversely affect any social group.Administrative capabilityAdministrative costs considered low, City has capability.Local championCounty OEM, SchoolsOther community objectivesCould lead to lower flood insurance premiumsPriorityHighLocal planning mechanismN/AResponsible partyCity Finance Office, City OEM, City ClerkPotential funding sourcesCity budgetTime line1 year	Political	No apparent political issues.
EnvironmentalNo adverse environmental impacts.SocialDoes not adversely affect any social group.Administrative capabilityAdministrative costs considered low, City has capability.Local championCounty OEM, SchoolsOther community objectivesCould lead to lower flood insurance premiumsImplementing the ActionPriorityHighLocal planning mechanismN/AResponsible partyCity Finance Office, City OEM, City ClerkPotential funding sourcesCity budgetTime line1 year	Legal	Action is legal and educational efforts have been previously used for messages on
SocialDoes not adversely affect any social group.Administrative capabilityAdministrative costs considered low, City has capability.Local championCounty OEM, SchoolsOther community objectivesCould lead to lower flood insurance premiumsImplementing the ActionPriorityHighLocal planning mechanismN/AResponsible partyCity Finance Office, City OEM, City ClerkPotential funding sourcesCity budgetTime line1 year		public safety and welfare.
Administrative capability Administrative costs considered low, City has capability. Local champion County OEM, Schools Other community objectives Could lead to lower flood insurance premiums Implementing the Action Priority High Local planning mechanism N/A Responsible party City Finance Office, City OEM, City Clerk Potential funding sources City budget Time line 1 year	Environmental	No adverse environmental impacts.
Local championCounty OEM, SchoolsOther community objectivesCould lead to lower flood insurance premiumsImplementing the ActionPriorityHighLocal planning mechanismN/AResponsible partyCity Finance Office, City OEM, City ClerkPotential funding sourcesCity budgetTime line1 year	Social	Does not adversely affect any social group.
Other community objectives Could lead to lower flood insurance premiums Implementing the Action Priority High Local planning mechanism N/A Responsible party City Finance Office, City OEM, City Clerk Potential funding sources City budget Time line 1 year	Administrative capability	Administrative costs considered low, City has capability.
Implementing the Action Priority High Local planning mechanism N/A Responsible party City Finance Office, City OEM, City Clerk Potential funding sources City budget Time line 1 year	Local champion	County OEM, Schools
Priority High Local planning mechanism N/A Responsible party City Finance Office, City OEM, City Clerk Potential funding sources City budget Time line 1 year	Other community objectives	Could lead to lower flood insurance premiums
Local planning mechanism N/A Responsible party City Finance Office, City OEM, City Clerk Potential funding sources City budget Time line 1 year		Implementing the Action
Responsible party City Finance Office, City OEM, City Clerk Potential funding sources City budget Time line 1 year	Priority	High
Potential funding sources City budget Time line 1 year	Local planning mechanism	N/A
Time line 1 year	Responsible party	City Finance Office, City OEM, City Clerk
	Potential funding sources	City budget
Reporting on Progress	Time line	1 year
		Reporting on Progress
Action progress status Have posted information on City website, local cable channel	Action progress status	



	Action Worksheet
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	Egg Harbor City
Community action number	9
	Assessing the Risk
Hazard(s) addressed	All
Risk finding	Local codes and ordinances can be evaluated and updated to improve upon or
	expand the mitigation approach to address natural disaster mitigation
	techniques.
	Describing the Action
Action category	Local planning and regulations
Action type	Adopt and enforce building codes
Action description	Code update: Review existing local codes and ordinances against the identified
-	hazards to determine whether there need to be any amendments to address
	identified hazards and, where a need is identified, modify/amend the
	codes/ordinances as applicable. (prevention)
Existing, future &/or NA	Existing and future
	Evaluating the Action
Losses avoided	Unquantifiable, but expected to be relatively high as compared to costs as losses
(i.e., benefits)	are avoided over time
Cost estimate	\$1,000 for legal/printing
Cost effectiveness	This action is likely to be cost-effective, with losses avoided over time anticipated
(i.e., benefit/cost)	to be greater than the costs of plan revisions.
Technical	This action is generally deemed to be a technically feasible component of a
	community mitigation strategy
Political	No apparent political issues.
Legal	No anticipated legal impacts; local government has appropriate authorities
Legui	
Environmental	No anticipated positive or negative environmental impacts
Liiviioiiiieiitai	
Social	No anticipated impact to any one portion of the population
Jourd	
Administrative capability	Land use board, construction code official and city engineers/planner could help
	advise.
Local champion	Mayor & Council
Other community objectives	N/A
	Implementing the Action
Priority	High
Local planning mechanism	Building Subcode
	Mayor & Council
Responsible party	· ·
Potential funding sources	N/A - local staff time and local budget
Time line	Ongoing
	Reporting on Progress
Action progress status	Carried forward from the last version of the plan



Action Worksheet	
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	Egg Harbor City
Community action number	10
•	Assessing the Risk
Hazard(s) addressed	All
Risk finding	Risks can increase unnecessarily when existing codes are not consistently and
U U	appropriately enforced.
	Describing the Action
Action category	Local planning and regulations
Action type	Adopt and enforce building codes
Action description	Code enforcement: Enforcement of State and Local Building Codes with Continual
	CEO training. (prevention)
Existing, future &/or NA	Existing and future
	Evaluating the Action
Losses avoided	Unquantifiable, but expected to be relatively high as compared to costs as losses
(i.e., benefits)	are avoided over time
Cost estimate	\$1,000
Cost effectiveness	This action is likely to be cost-effective, with losses avoided over time anticipated
(i.e., benefit/cost)	to be greater than the costs of plan revisions.
Technical	This action is generally deemed to be a technically feasible component of a
	community mitigation strategy
Political	No apparent political issues.
Legal	No anticipated legal impacts; local government has appropriate authorities
Environmental	No anticipated positive or negative environmental impacts
Social	No anticipated impact to any one portion of the population
Administrative capability	Building committee of Council oversees code enforcement department
Local champion	Mayor & Council
Other community objectives	Also helps keeps community clean and safe and helps residents have pride in City
	Implementing the Action
Priority	High
Local planning mechanism	Building Department
Responsible party	Code Enforcement Officials
Potential funding sources	N/A - local staff time and local budget
Time line	Ongoing
	Reporting on Progress
Action progress status	Carried forward from the last version of the pla. One of our code enforcement
	officers completed Zoning Official courses through Rutgers.



Action Worksheet	
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	Egg Harbor City
Community action number	11
•	Assessing the Risk
Hazard(s) addressed	All
Risk finding	Local plans can be updated to address natural disaster mitigation techniques.
	Describing the Action
Action category	Local planning and regulations
Action type	Incorporate mitigation into local planning
Action description	Ensure that local comprehensive plans incorporate natural disaster mitigation techniques through a courtesy review of draft plans by the County Planning Department
Existing, future &/or NA	Existing and future
	Evaluating the Action
Losses avoided	Unquantifiable, but expected to be relatively high as compared to costs as losses
(i.e., benefits)	are avoided over time
Cost estimate	\$1,000 or less
Cost effectiveness	This action is likely to be cost-effective, with losses avoided over time anticipated
(i.e., benefit/cost)	to be greater than the costs of plan revisions.
Technical	This action is generally deemed to be a technically feasible component of a
	community mitigation strategy
Political	No apparent political issues.
Legal	No anticipated legal impacts; local government has appropriate authorities
Environmental	No anticipated positive or negative environmental impacts
Social	No anticipated impact to any one portion of the population
Administrative capability	Emergency Management Department
Local champion	Mayor & Council
Other community objectives	N/A
	Implementing the Action
Priority	Medium
Local planning mechanism	N/A
Responsible party	Emergency Management Department
Potential funding sources	N/A - local staff time and local budget
Time line	Ongoing
	Reporting on Progress
Action progress status	Carried forward from the last version of the plan. City updated its Emergency
Action progress status	currica jorwara from the last version of the plan. City aparted its Emergency



Action Worksheet	
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	Egg Harbor City
Community action number	12
•	Assessing the Risk
Hazard(s) addressed	All
Risk finding	The general public's understanding of natural hazards and mitigation possibilities
	could be improved. The community's overall level of disaster resistance would
	increase if a greater number of households had a thorough understanding of their
	risks and things they can do to reduce these risks.
	Describing the Action
Action category	Education and awareness programs
Action type	Multi- hazard education and risk awareness
Action description	2E. Update information on the local web site regarding preparing for emergencies
·	to incorporate profiled hazards not already discussed in these documents, and
	ensure that the information continues to be maintained on the web site. (public
Existing, future &/or NA	Existing and future
	Evaluating the Action
Losses avoided	Unquantifiable, but expected to be relatively high as compared to costs as losses
(i.e., benefits)	are avoided over time
Cost estimate	\$0
Cost effectiveness	This action is likely to be cost-effective, with losses avoided over time anticipated
(i.e., benefit/cost)	to be greater than the costs of plan revisions.
Technical	This action is generally deemed to be a technically feasible component of a
	community mitigation strategy
Political	No apparent political issues.
Legal	No anticipated legal impacts; local government has appropriate authorities
Environmental	No anticipated positive or negative environmental impacts
Social	No anticipated impact to any one portion of the population
Administrative capability	Chief Financial officer & City Clerk can update website, County hosts site and can
. ,	make any changes we cannot do in-house
Local champion	Mayor
Other community objectives	Increase website presence
	Implementing the Action
Priority	High
Local planning mechanism	N/A
Responsible party	Finance & City Clerk Departments
Potential funding sources	N/A - local staff time and local budget
Time line	Ongoing
	Reporting on Progress
Action progress status	Carried forward from the last version of the plan. Website has page on Hazard
	Mitigation and Facebook utilized as well to publicize plan update.



Action Worksheet	
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	Egg Harbor City
Community action number	13
	Assessing the Risk
Hazard(s) addressed	All
Risk finding	The general public's understanding of natural hazards and mitigation possibilities could be improved. The community's overall level of disaster resistance would increase if a greater number of households had a thorough understanding of their risks and things they can do to reduce these risks.
	Describing the Action
Action category	Education and awareness programs
Action type	Multi-hazard education and risk awareness
Action description	Hold periodic workshops for municipalities regarding zoning and planning issues that arise regarding natural hazards and hazard mitigation.(prevention)
Existing, future &/or NA	Existing and future
	Evaluating the Action
Losses avoided	Unquantifiable, but expected to be relatively high as compared to costs as losses
(i.e., benefits)	are avoided over time
Cost estimate	\$1,000 or less
Cost effectiveness	This action is likely to be cost-effective, with losses avoided over time anticipated
(i.e., benefit/cost)	to be greater than the costs of plan revisions.
Technical	This action is generally deemed to be a technically feasible component of a
	community mitigation strategy
Political	No apparent political issues.
Legal	No anticipated legal impacts; local government has appropriate authorities
Environmental	No anticipated positive or negative environmental impacts
Social	No anticipated impact to any one portion of the population
Administrative capability	Land Use Board Secretary & City Clerk's Office could handle
Local champion	Mayor & Council
Other community objectives	Increase council interaction with public
	Implementing the Action
Priority	Medium
Local planning mechanism	Land use Board
Responsible party	Land Use Board & Mayor & Council
Potential funding sources	N/A - local staff time and local budget
Time line	Ongoing
	Reporting on Progress
Action progress status	Carried forward from the last version of the plan



Action Worksheet	
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	Egg Harbor City
Community action number	14
	Assessing the Risk
Hazard(s) addressed	All
Risk finding	The community's overall level of disaster resistance would increase if hazard mitigation principles were more closely aligned with day-to-day operations and activities.
	Describing the Action
Action category	Local planning and regulations
Action type	Integrate mitigation into local planning
Action description	Ensure that the principles of this hazard mitigation plan are integrated into the new and updated development plans/strategies. (prevention)
Existing, future &/or NA	Existing and future
	Evaluating the Action
Losses avoided (i.e., benefits)	Unquantifiable, but expected to be relatively high as compared to costs as losses are avoided over time
Cost estimate	Unknown
Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost)	This action is likely to be cost-effective, with losses avoided over time anticipated to be greater than the costs of plan revisions.
Technical	This action is generally deemed to be a technically feasible component of a community mitigation strategy
Political	No apparent political issues.
Legal	No anticipated legal impacts; local government has appropriate authorities
Environmental	No anticipated positive or negative environmental impacts
Social	No anticipated impact to any one portion of the population
Administrative capability	Land Use Board
Local champion	Land Use Board & Mayor & Council
Other community objectives	N/A
	Implementing the Action
Priority	Medium
Local planning mechanism	Land Use Board-update of Master Plan
Responsible party	Land Use Board
Potential funding sources	N/A - local staff time and local budget
Time line	Ongoing
	Reporting on Progress
Action progress status	Carried forward from the last version of the plan

